



REQUEST FOR FEE PROPOSAL for Landscape Architecture Services

**McKinley Park Community Grove
Beltzhoover, Pittsburgh, PA**

-ADDENDUM No. 1-

Issued: February 16, 2024

NOTICE TO ALL POTENTIAL BIDDERS:

Addendum No.1 modifies, clarifies, or changes the requirements of RFP for the **McKinley Park Community Grove**, as described below. All other provisions and requirements of the original RFP remain valid.

CHANGES AND MODIFICATIONS TO THE RFP REQUIREMENTS:

1. N/A

QUESTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS:

The following clarifications are in response to written bidder questions received on or before **February 15, 2024**. Please note, all prospective bidders may submit additional questions up to or before **4PM EST on Wednesday, February 21, 2024**.

Question 1: Since this is a public park, should the consultant assume preparing documents for and presenting to the Art Commission?

Response: PPC will prepare application documents and present to the Civic Design Review Committee. The Consultant will be required to provide to PPC all supporting graphics and other documentation required for successful review and approval.

Question 2: Is there a desire for lighting? If so, should the consultant include an electrical consultant as part of the core team? Or should that be part of the supplemental engineering?

Response: The Community Engagement process will inform the site lighting plan. The Consultant may utilize the services of an MEP Engineer and/or electrical subconsultant as part of the \$10,000 supplemental engineering and design services, if needed.

Question 3: Is the community grove intended to be a *structure*? Like a pavilion or stage? Or is it meant to be a landscape, in-grade installation? Or will the scope of construction be determined during community engagement?

Response: The design scope shall be determined in response to input received during the Community Engagement process and bounded by the preliminary construction budget of \$435K.

Question 4: The RFP mentions drainage issues throughout the park, which we assume are also within the project area. Should the consultant include a civil engineer as part of the core team? Or should that be part of the supplemental engineering?

Response: PPC suggests that the Consultant Team include a Civil Engineer. Stormwater management and E&S controls will likely be required.

Question 5: Can a copy of the 2016 Master Plan be provided?

Response: A copy of the 2016 McKinley Park Masterplan is attached to this Addendum. PPC has added a copy the Project Page at <https://pittsburghparks.org/mckinley-park-community-grove/>

Question 6: Does PPC have mapping for the project area? It's difficult to determine the limit of survey needed, so we're thinking to delay the survey until after Task 2 is complete, so we're sure to capture the area needed. However, we'll need some type of mapping to complete Task 1 and Task 2.

Response: PPC has some existing mapping of the project area and some recent as-built plans, which include 1' contours, spot elevations, and RIM and Invert elevations for structures located in the space. The Consultant may propose to delay the survey until after Task 2 is complete to better assess the scope and detail of supplemental survey.

Question 7: The scope is extensive (survey, concept plan, community engagement, SD, DD, CD, bidding and CA) and the fee does not appear to be adequate, especially when \$10,000 must be allocated for supplemental engineering and \$5000 assumed for survey. Is PPC open to an alternative approach? Or is there additional funding available?

Response: PPC is open to alternative project approaches so long as the Consultant's proposal would meet the Project Goals and Deliverables within the approximate budget and schedule outlined in the RFP.

The Consultant is required to indicate their receipt and acknowledgement of this addendum in the proposal submission packet. Failure to do so may result in disqualification of their bid.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 1