PARKS LISTENING TOUR

SURVEY RESULTS
3400 surveys completed
  • 64 percent were completed at meetings / events

Respondents come from all areas of the city
  • Mostly north of the Monongahela River, but nonetheless from all corners of Pittsburgh

Good distribution of ages, pretty good distribution of household income
  • Fairly even distribution among all age groups over 24
  • 27% of respondents make less than $40,000 a year, 30% make over $75,000

Racial diversity reflects overall citywide breakdown (~ 70/20 white to black)

Comments received on 113 parks out of 165 (68% of sites)
  • Regional parks: between 424 and 964 each (except Emerald View)
  • Allegheny Commons: 306
  • 26 parks received 20 or more appraisals
  • 43 parks received between 5 and 19
  • 44 parks received between 1 and 4 comments
RESPONSES BY POPULATION DENSITY AND RACE

Source: American Community Survey 2016 (5-Year Estimates) by Census Block Group

LEGEND
- 1 Dot = 1 Resident
- White
- Black
- Asian
- Hispanic
- Other
- Two or more races
- City Council Districts
MORE THAN 10,000 PEOPLE participated in some form

128 EVENTS across the city

Meeting locations

3,400 PEOPLE completed surveys

Where survey respondents are from
It needs maintenance. Buildings are in disrepair, pathways need to be cleaned, cleared, repaired. Nothing is planted in the flower beds. The grassy areas are not mowed often enough.

Lighting needed! The playground area is worn and sees a lot of graffiti and vandalism. It doesn't get mowed much, and the courts are in bad shape.

Garbage is abundant, there is decaying infrastructure, trees are falling down, there are not enough garbage cans. It needs to have a lot of work done.

Overall the park needs to be updated and maintained regularly.

I've been going to this park since I was a child. It's always clean and offers many things for all ages.

When I go to my favorite parks, I hardly see any vandalism, no trash, and the landscape is beautiful. The majority of the time the lawns are up to par, and overall the atmosphere is great!

The park crew does an excellent job of maintaining the park snow removal. Grass is cut and rubbish picked up. The foreman is always on top of things.

It's meticulously maintained, and there are activities for everyone.

Quotes from people who took the survey
SURVEY: WHO HAS TAKEN IT

Survey respondents
Citywide residents

### Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Survey respondents</th>
<th>Citywide residents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 18</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and over</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Household Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Income</th>
<th>Survey respondents</th>
<th>Citywide residents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under $20,000</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000 - $39,000</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40,000 - $74,000</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$75,000 - $149,000</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over $150,000</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t know</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Race or Ethnicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race or Ethnicity</th>
<th>Survey respondents</th>
<th>Citywide residents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American or Black</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lives with Children Under 18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lives with Children Under 18</th>
<th>Survey respondents</th>
<th>Citywide data refers to households</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
95% of people who took the survey said our parks need more money.
Survey participants confirmed PPC & City of Pittsburgh’s assessment: at least half of parks are not in good condition.

More than half of the parks that residents rated in the Parks Listening Tour Survey got an average condition score of 5 or less out of 10.

PPC & City of Pittsburgh’s assessment also concluded that more than half of parks are in “fair” or “poor” condition.

Condition of parks according to survey participants:
- Excellent: 6%
- Poor: 18%
- Good: 38%
- Fair: 38%

Condition of parks according to PPC & City of Pittsburgh assessment:
- Excellent: 6%
- Poor: 18%
- Good: 38%
- Fair: 38%
SURVEY: OVERALL RANKING OF CAPITAL, REHABILITATION, MAINTENANCE, & PROGRAMMING AT PARTICULAR PARKS
### SURVEY: RANKING CAP, REHAB, MAINT, & PROG

#### Average Rankings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Average Rank</th>
<th>Percent of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Improvements</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programming</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 = Most important, 4 = Least (Q5)

---

#### Percent of Respondents

- **Maintenance**: 65%
- **Rehabilitation**: 56%
- **Capital Improvements**: 50%
- **Programming**: 46%

---

#### Percent of respondents who ranked the importance of each category first, second, third, and fourth for particular parks (Q5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>1st</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
<th>4th</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Imp</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programming</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Only includes rankings related to parks that could be positively identified as sites within the city, as of 5/6/2019.
The greater preference for maintenance that came out of the survey may be related in part to the higher condition of the sites that most respondents commented on. At lower condition sites, respondents were just slightly more likely to prefer capital improvements.

There seems to be a slight correlation between a respondents’ PARK CONDITION RATING and their ranking of CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS versus MAINTENANCE. The correlation is weak, but...

The LOWER the condition of a site, the MORE likely respondents are to prefer capital improvements there…

And the HIGHER the condition of a site, the MORE likely likely respondents are to prefer maintenance there.
This trend is borne out when we isolate only people who rated their parks very good or
very poor: the people who rate their park very poor are significantly more likely to rank
capital improvements first.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maint</th>
<th>Rehab</th>
<th>Cap</th>
<th>Prog</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>54%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRIORITIES OF
PEOPLE WHO RATED THEIR PARK
CONDITION VERY BAD (1-3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maint</th>
<th>Rehab</th>
<th>Cap</th>
<th>Prog</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average rank

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maint</th>
<th>Rehab</th>
<th>Cap</th>
<th>Prog</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SURVEY: OVERALL RANKING CAPITAL, REHABILITATION, MAINTENANCE, & PROGRAMMING PRIORITIES
SURVEY: RANKING CAPITAL & REHAB ITEMS

Percent of respondents

Very Important | Somewhat Important | Not Too Important | Not Important At All

100% | Spray parks
90% | Dog parks
80% | Monuments and Public Art
70% | Pools
60% | Athletic courts
50% | Athletic fields
40% | Landscaped areas
30% | Playgrounds
20% | Lighting
10% | Gazebo / pavilions
0% | Benches / Tables

LOWEST PERCENT VERY OR SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT

HIGHEST PERCENT VERY OR SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Type</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Somewhat Important</th>
<th>Not Too Important</th>
<th>Not Important At All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult sports programs</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes / activities for adults over 65</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult non-sports programs</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Festivals or fairs</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth sports programs</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs for people with disabilities</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth non-sports programs</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs for families</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music or performances</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SURVEY: RANKING PROGRAMMING ITEMS**

HIGHEST PERCENT VERY OR SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT

LOWEST PERCENT VERY OR SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
SURVEY: PRIORITIES BY RACE
Only respondents who identified their race or ethnicity as “African American or Black.” Race/Ethnicity also tracks strongly with income and with the location in which respondents took the survey (Black residents were more likely to take the survey at a public meeting, as opposed to online).
SURVEY: PRIORITIES AMONG WHITE RESIDENTS

Total respondents: 2174

Only respondents who identified their race or ethnicity as “White.” Race/Ethnicity also tracks strongly with income and with the location in which respondents took the survey (Black residents were more likely to take the survey at a public meeting, as opposed to online).
SURVEY: PRIORITIES BY INCOME
SURVEY: PRIORITIES AMONG HH INC $40-$74k

Total respondents: 686

- **Very Important**
- **Somewhat Important**
- **Not Too Important**
- **Not Important At All**

**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS**

- Spray parks
- Dog parks
- Pools
- Athletic courts
- Athletic fields
- Landscaped areas
- Playgrounds
- Lighting
- Benches, tables
- Restrooms
- Trees

**MAINTENANCE**

- Athletic court maintenance
- Athletic field maintenance
- Ice and snow removal
- Pool maintenance
- Mowing
- Landscape care
- Path/sidewalk maintenance
- Waste pickup

**PROGRAMMING**

- Classes
- Adult sports programs
- Adult non-sports programs
- Music or performances
- Festivals or fairs
- Programs for people with disabilities
- Programs for seniors
- Youth sports programs
- Youth non-sports programs
- Activities for adults over 65
- Music or performances
SURVEY: PRIORITIES AMONG HH INC <$75,000

Total respondents: 1023

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

MAINTENANCE

PROGRAMMING
SURVEY: PRIORITIES BY COUNCIL DISTRICT
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 1

Total respondents: 424

Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.

Capital Improvements

- Spray parks: 28% Very Important, 40% Somewhat Important, 23% Not Too Important, 15% Not Important At All
- Dog parks: 25% Very Important, 35% Somewhat Important, 37% Not Too Important, 13% Not Important At All
- Monuments and Public Art: 37% Very Important, 34% Somewhat Important, 33% Not Too Important, 10% Not Important At All

Maintenance

- Athletic court maintenance: 54% Very Important, 51% Somewhat Important, 22% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- Ice and snow removal: 47% Very Important, 47% Somewhat Important, 16% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- Pool maintenance: 47% Very Important, 47% Somewhat Important, 18% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All

Programming

- Adult sports programs: 30% Very Important, 60% Somewhat Important, 13% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- Youth sports programs: 50% Very Important, 30% Somewhat Important, 10% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- Music or performances: 30% Very Important, 50% Somewhat Important, 10% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
Total respondents: 204

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Somewhat Important</th>
<th>Not Too Important</th>
<th>Not Important At All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital Improvements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spray parks</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog parks</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monuments and Public Art</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation / Healthy, Active &amp; Living Centers</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic fields</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape areas</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbages, pavilions, &amp; benches</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playgrounds</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrooms</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic field maintenance</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice and snow removal</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool maintenance repair</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mowing</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape care</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree care</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste pickup</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult sports programs</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult non-sports programs</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth sports programs</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Festivals or fairs</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs for people with disabilities</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music or performances</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Maintenance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Somewhat Important</th>
<th>Not Too Important</th>
<th>Not Important At All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recreation / Healthy, Active &amp; Living Centers</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grafitti removal / Vandalism repair</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playground safety / Maintenance</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Path / Sidewalk maintenance</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes / Activities for adults</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult non-sports programs</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth sports programs</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Festivals or fairs</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs for people with disabilities</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music or performances</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Programming**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Somewhat Important</th>
<th>Not Too Important</th>
<th>Not Important At All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recreation / Healthy, Active &amp; Living Centers</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult sports programs</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult non-sports programs</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth sports programs</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Festivals or fairs</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs for people with disabilities</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music or performances</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 3

Total respondents: 155

**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS**

- Spray parks: 19%
- Dog parks: 16%
- Monuments and Public Art: 16%
- Pools: 12%
- Athletic courts: 12%
- Athletic fields: 12%
- Landscaped areas: 8%
- Playgrounds: 9%
- Lighting: 3%
- Benches / Tables: 3%
- Restrooms / Sidewalks: 4%
- Trees: 7%
- Athletic court maintenance: 11%
- Athletic field maintenance: 16%
- Ice and snow removal: 12%
- Active & Living Center maintenance: 15%
- Pool maintenance: 10%
- Vandalism repair: 14%
- Mowing: 33%
- Landscape care: 33%
- Trees: 33%
- Path / Sidewalk maintenance: 33%
- Classes / programs: 44%
- Activities for adults over 65: 33%

**MAINTENANCE**

- Garbage: 32%
- Provisions: 32%
- Recreation / Healthy: 34%
- Athletic: 46%
- Active & Living: 48%
- Paths / Sidewalks: 53%
- Benches / Tables: 56%
- Restrooms: 64%
- Tennis: 73%
- Trees: 74%
- Ice: 88%
- Snow: 88%
- Active & Living Center: 78%
- Pool: 65%
- Vandalism: 53%
- Tree care: 74%
- Waste pickup: 83%
- Garbage removal: 53%
- Maintenance: 57%
- Recreation / Healthy: 56%

**PROGRAMMING**

- Recreation / Healthy: 38%
- Active & Living: 39%
- Pool: 46%
- Athletic: 39%
- Playground safety: 33%
- Pool: 33%
- Active & Living Center: 50%
- Path: 44%
- Sidewalk: 50%
- Maintenance: 50%
- Recreation / Healthy: 50%
- Active & Living: 50%
- Classes / programs: 44%
- Activities: 50%
- Programs: 50%
- Music: 54%
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 4

Total respondents: 136

Very Important | Somewhat Important | Not Too Important | Not Important At All

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

MAINTENANCE

PROGRAMMING

Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 5

Total respondents: 302

**Capital Improvements**
- **Spray parks**: 23% Very Important, 25% Somewhat Important, 17% Not Too Important, 11% Not Important At All
- **Dog parks**: 25% Very Important, 16% Somewhat Important, 20% Not Too Important, 21% Not Important At All
- **Pools**: 36% Very Important, 36% Somewhat Important, 32% Not Too Important, 22% Not Important At All
- **Facilities**: 44% Very Important, 46% Somewhat Important, 42% Not Too Important, 22% Not Important At All
- **Lighting**: 65% Very Important, 30% Somewhat Important, 40% Not Too Important, 13% Not Important At All
- **Baskets**: 65% Very Important, 30% Somewhat Important, 40% Not Too Important, 13% Not Important At All
- **Restrooms**: 83% Very Important, 22% Somewhat Important, 2% Not Too Important, 1% Not Important At All
- **Trees**: 83% Very Important, 22% Somewhat Important, 2% Not Too Important, 1% Not Important At All

**Maintenance**
- **Athletic court maintenance**: 39% Very Important, 33% Somewhat Important, 37% Not Too Important, 13% Not Important At All
- **Ice and snow removal**: 27% Very Important, 48% Somewhat Important, 12% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- **Pool maintenance**: 60% Very Important, 48% Somewhat Important, 12% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- **Mowing**: 49% Very Important, 54% Somewhat Important, 11% Not Too Important, 1% Not Important At All
- **Landscape care**: 76% Very Important, 77% Somewhat Important, 11% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- **Tree care**: 81% Very Important, 87% Somewhat Important, 11% Not Too Important, 1% Not Important At All

**Programming**
- **Adult sports programs**: 43% Very Important, 37% Somewhat Important, 39% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- **Teen sports programs**: 34% Very Important, 36% Somewhat Important, 32% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- **Youth sports programs**: 49% Very Important, 51% Somewhat Important, 50% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- **Festivals or fairs**: 31% Very Important, 33% Somewhat Important, 33% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- **Music or performances**: 41% Very Important, 51% Somewhat Important, 51% Not Too Important, 1% Not Important At All

Only respondents who provided and address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 6

Total respondents: 268

Only respondents who provided and address or ZIP code included.
**SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 7**

Total respondents: 360

### CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Somewhat Important</th>
<th>Not Too Important</th>
<th>Not Important At All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spray parks</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog parks</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monuments and Public Art</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic courts</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic fields</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape areas</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playgrounds</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbages, pavilions</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benches, shelters</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrooms / Sidewalks</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic court maintenance</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice and snow removal</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool maintenance</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playground safety</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vandalism, repair</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mowing</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape care</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree care</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste pickup</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MAINTENANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maintenance Type</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Somewhat Important</th>
<th>Not Too Important</th>
<th>Not Important At All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recreation / Healthy</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active &amp; Living Centers</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice &amp; snow removal</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool maintenance</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mowing</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape care</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree care</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste pickup</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PROGRAMMING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programming Type</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Somewhat Important</th>
<th>Not Too Important</th>
<th>Not Important At All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classes / Workshops</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult sports programs</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth sports programs</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Festivals / Fairs</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs for people</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with disabilities</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music or performances</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.*
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 9

Total respondents: 303

**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS**
- Spray parks: 19% (Very Important), 20% (Somewhat Important), 29% (Not Too Important), 41% (Not Important At All)
- Dog parks: 19% (Very Important), 19% (Somewhat Important), 38% (Not Too Important), 39% (Not Important At All)
- Monuments and Public Art: 34% (Very Important), 32% (Somewhat Important), 29% (Not Too Important), 35% (Not Important At All)

**MAINTENANCE**
- Athletic courts: 20% (Very Important), 13% (Somewhat Important), 35% (Not Too Important), 42% (Not Important At All)
- Athletic fields: 10% (Very Important), 12% (Somewhat Important), 28% (Not Too Important), 71% (Not Important At All)
- Playgrounds: 11% (Very Important), 24% (Somewhat Important), 7% (Not Too Important), 66% (Not Important At All)

**PROGRAMMING**
- Adult sports programs: 16% (Very Important), 12% (Somewhat Important), 25% (Not Too Important), 27% (Not Important At All)
- Youth sports programs: 16% (Very Important), 12% (Somewhat Important), 27% (Not Too Important), 25% (Not Important At All)
- Festivals or fairs: 16% (Very Important), 12% (Somewhat Important), 27% (Not Too Important), 27% (Not Important At All)

Only respondents who provided and address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: RANKING CAPITAL & REHAB PRIORITIES
SURVEY: RANKING CAPITAL & REHAB ITEMS

HIGHEST PERCENT VERY OR SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT

LOWEST PERCENT VERY OR SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
SURVEY: PRIORITIES BY RACE
SURVEY: PRIORITIES AMONG BLACK RESIDENTS

Total respondents: 589

TOP 3 “VERY IMPORTANT” PRIORITIES:
- Restrooms
- Lighting
Tied:
- Playgrounds
- Paths/Sidewalks
- Benches/Tables

Only respondents who identified their race or ethnicity as “African American or Black.” Race/Ethnicity also tracks strongly with income and with the location in which respondents took the survey (Black residents were more likely to take the survey at a public meeting, as opposed to online).
SURVEY: PRIORITIES AMONG WHITE RESIDENTS

Total respondents: 2174

TOP 3 “VERY IMPORTANT” PRIORITIES:
Trees
Paths/ Sidewalks
Restrooms

Only respondents who identified their race or ethnicity as “White.” Race/Ethnicity also tracks strongly with income and with the location in which respondents took the survey (Black residents were more likely to take the survey at a public meeting, as opposed to online).
SURVEY: PRIORITIES BY INCOME
SURVEY: PRIORITIES AMONG HH INC <$40,000

Total respondents: 916

Generally, greater importance assigned to Capital & Rehab (higher rates of VERY IMPORTANT); decreases as income increases.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES AMONG HH INC $40-$74k

Total respondents: 686

**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS**

- **Spray parks:** 94% Very Important, 5% Somewhat Important, 1% Not Too Important, 0% Not Important At All
- **Dog parks:** 62% Very Important, 35% Somewhat Important, 5% Not Too Important, 0% Not Important At All
- **Pools:** 24% Very Important, 25% Somewhat Important, 21% Not Too Important, 9% Not Important At All
- **Athletic courts:** 35% Very Important, 32% Somewhat Important, 19% Not Too Important, 4% Not Important At All
- **Athletic fields:** 41% Very Important, 37% Somewhat Important, 20% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- **Gardens, parks, and playgrounds:** 40% Very Important, 38% Somewhat Important, 17% Not Too Important, 5% Not Important At All
- **Lawns, landscaping, and other:** 51% Very Important, 51% Somewhat Important, 13% Not Too Important, 4% Not Important At All
- **Lighting:** 65% Very Important, 6% Somewhat Important, 6% Not Too Important, 3% Not Important At All
- **Benches, tables, and other seating:** 67% Very Important, 9% Somewhat Important, 7% Not Too Important, 7% Not Important At All
- **Restrooms:** 69% Very Important, 8% Somewhat Important, 3% Not Too Important, 4% Not Important At All
- **Trees:** 88% Very Important, 2% Somewhat Important, 2% Not Too Important, 0% Not Important At All
SURVEY: PRIORITIES AMONG HH INC >$75,000

Total respondents: 1023

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

- Very Important
- Somewhat Important
- Not Too Important
- Not Important At All

Spray parks: 28%
Dog parks: 21%
Art: 25%
Pools: 20%
Sports courts: 20%
Athletic fields: 21%
Centers: 18%
Parks: 10%
Playgrounds: 9%
Landscaped areas: 6%
Lighting: 5%
Benches: 4%
Trash receptacles: 3%
Restrooms: 24%
Paths: 18%
Sidewalks: 14%
Trees: 9%

Monuments and Public Art: 37%
Tennis courts: 40%
Bocce courts: 40%
Lakes: 43%
Camps: 39%
Ponds: 39%
Orienteering courses: 38%
Picnic areas: 38%
Hiking trails: 37%
Biking trails: 36%
...)
SURVEY: PRIORITIES BY COUNCIL DISTRICT

Ordered by overall importance assigned to Capital / Rehab, as defined by rates of “Very Important” across categories
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 1

Total respondents: 424

**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS**

- Spray parks: 25% Very Important, 35% Somewhat Important, 33% Not Too Important, 7% Not Important At All
- Dog parks: 25% Very Important, 40% Somewhat Important, 36% Not Too Important, 3% Not Important At All
- Art: 42% Very Important, 43% Somewhat Important, 37% Not Too Important, 3% Not Important At All
- Pools: 34% Very Important, 40% Somewhat Important, 37% Not Too Important, 3% Not Important At All
- Athletic courts: 36% Very Important, 37% Somewhat Important, 39% Not Too Important, 3% Not Important At All
- Athletic fields: 34% Very Important, 40% Somewhat Important, 39% Not Too Important, 3% Not Important At All
- Landscape areas: 54% Very Important, 54% Somewhat Important, 30% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- Playgrounds: 66% Very Important, 66% Somewhat Important, 19% Not Too Important, 3% Not Important At All
- Loading docks: 73% Very Important, 36% Somewhat Important, 19% Not Too Important, 4% Not Important At All
- Lighting: 36% Very Important, 36% Somewhat Important, 26% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- Shelters: 69% Very Important, 22% Somewhat Important, 16% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- Benches: 73% Very Important, 36% Somewhat Important, 19% Not Too Important, 3% Not Important At All
- Tables: 83% Very Important, 20% Somewhat Important, 10% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- Restrooms: 92% Very Important, 21% Somewhat Important, 10% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- Trees: 89% Very Important, 16% Somewhat Important, 7% Not Too Important, 3% Not Important At All

Only respondents who provided and address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 2

Total respondents: 204

Very Important | Somewhat Important | Not Too Important | Not Important At All

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

- Spray parks
- Dog parks
- Art
- Pools
- Athletic courts
- Athletic fields
- Landscape areas
- Playgrounds
- Lighting
- Shelters
- Tables
- Restrooms
- Sidewalks
- Trees

Only respondents who provided and address or ZIP code included.
Total respondents: 155

SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 3

Very Important | Somewhat Important | Not Too Important | Not Important At All

Capital Improvements

- Spray parks: 38%
- Dog parks: 34%
- Playfields: 39%
- Athletic courts: 39%
- Athletic fields: 33%
- Athletic centers: 32%
- Playgrounds: 30%
- Lighting: 33%
- Benches: 50%
- Tables: 53%
- Restrooms: 50%
- Sidewalks: 62%
- Trees: 83%

Total respondents: 155

Only respondents who provided and address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 4

Total respondents: 136

Capital Improvements

- Somewhat Important
- Not Too Important
- Not Important At All

Spray parks: 43% Very Important, 32% Somewhat Important, 17% Not Too Important, 16% Not Important At All
Dog parks: 41% Very Important, 32% Somewhat Important, 21% Not Too Important, 16% Not Important At All
Playgrounds: 43% Very Important, 33% Somewhat Important, 21% Not Too Important, 17% Not Important At All
Pools: 44% Very Important, 32% Somewhat Important, 21% Not Too Important, 16% Not Important At All
Monuments and Public Art: 55% Very Important, 52% Somewhat Important, 31% Not Too Important, 21% Not Important At All
Barbecue Pavilions: 57% Very Important, 52% Somewhat Important, 31% Not Too Important, 21% Not Important At All
athletic fields: 57% Very Important, 52% Somewhat Important, 31% Not Too Important, 21% Not Important At All
Athletic centers: 52% Very Important, 52% Somewhat Important, 41% Not Too Important, 31% Not Important At All
Landscape areas: 71% Very Important, 74% Somewhat Important, 44% Not Too Important, 33% Not Important At All
Benches: 61% Very Important, 61% Somewhat Important, 44% Not Too Important, 33% Not Important At All
Paths: 71% Very Important, 74% Somewhat Important, 44% Not Too Important, 33% Not Important At All
Trees: 87% Very Important, 80% Somewhat Important, 44% Not Too Important, 33% Not Important At All

Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 5

Total respondents: 302

### CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

- Spray parks: 23% Very Important, 25% Somewhat Important, 16% Not Too Important, 20% Not Important At All
- Dog parks: 25% Very Important, 20% Somewhat Important, 15% Not Too Important, 11% Not Important At All
- Pools: 36% Very Important, 36% Somewhat Important, 32% Not Too Important, 30% Not Important At All
- Athletic courts: 39% Very Important, 39% Somewhat Important, 32% Not Too Important, 30% Not Important At All
- Athletic fields: 36% Very Important, 36% Somewhat Important, 32% Not Too Important, 30% Not Important At All
- Landscaped areas: 37% Very Important, 37% Somewhat Important, 30% Not Too Important, 22% Not Important At All
- Playgrounds: 65% Very Important, 57% Somewhat Important, 51% Not Too Important, 46% Not Important At All
- Lighting: 46% Very Important, 45% Somewhat Important, 51% Not Too Important, 46% Not Important At All
- Shelters: 30% Very Important, 30% Somewhat Important, 40% Not Too Important, 40% Not Important At All
- Garbage, pavilions: 32% Very Important, 42% Somewhat Important, 46% Not Too Important, 48% Not Important At All
- Benches: 44% Very Important, 46% Somewhat Important, 46% Not Too Important, 48% Not Important At All
- Tables: 51% Very Important, 51% Somewhat Important, 30% Not Too Important, 40% Not Important At All
- Restrooms: 40% Very Important, 40% Somewhat Important, 30% Not Too Important, 30% Not Important At All
- Sidewalks: 44% Very Important, 44% Somewhat Important, 22% Not Too Important, 22% Not Important At All
- Trees: 40% Very Important, 40% Somewhat Important, 22% Not Too Important, 22% Not Important At All

Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 6

Total respondents: 268

Capital Improvements

Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 7

Total respondents: 360

**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS**

- Very Important
- Somewhat Important
- Not Too Important
- Not Important At All

---

Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 8

Total respondents: 176

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 9

Total respondents: 303

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

Very Important | Somewhat Important | Not Too Important | Not Important At All

Spray parks: 41% Very Important, 40% Somewhat Important, 9% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
Dog parks: 19% Very Important, 20% Somewhat Important, 14% Not Too Important, 11% Not Important At All
Pools: 19% Very Important, 14% Somewhat Important, 13% Not Too Important, 11% Not Important At All
Athletic courts: 10% Very Important, 10% Somewhat Important, 8% Not Too Important, 7% Not Important At All
Athletic fields: 10% Very Important, 11% Somewhat Important, 8% Not Too Important, 7% Not Important At All
Lanterns: 20% Very Important, 29% Somewhat Important, 28% Not Too Important, 24% Not Important At All
Playgrounds: 7% Very Important, 7% Somewhat Important, 6% Not Too Important, 5% Not Important At All
Lighting: 20% Very Important, 24% Somewhat Important, 29% Not Too Important, 24% Not Important At All
Benches: 29% Very Important, 32% Somewhat Important, 28% Not Too Important, 31% Not Important At All
Trash: 32% Very Important, 38% Somewhat Important, 35% Not Too Important, 31% Not Important At All
Restrooms: 38% Very Important, 48% Somewhat Important, 52% Not Too Important, 52% Not Important At All
Sidewalks: 52% Very Important, 48% Somewhat Important, 52% Not Too Important, 52% Not Important At All
Trees: 88% Very Important, 88% Somewhat Important, 88% Not Too Important, 88% Not Important At All

Only respondents who provided and address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: RANKING MAINTENANCE PRIORITIES
SURVEY: RANKING MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES

TOP 3 “VERY IMPORTANT” PRIORITIES:
SURVEY: PRIORITIES BY RACE
SURVEY: PRIORITIES AMONG BLACK RESIDENTS

Total respondents: 589

- Very Important
- Somewhat Important
- Not Too Important
- Not Important At All

TOP 3 “VERY IMPORTANT”
- Playground Safety & Maintenance
- Waste Pickup
- Path / Sidewalk Maintenance

Only respondents who identified their race or ethnicity as “African American or Black.” Race/Ethnicity also tracks strongly with income and with the location in which respondents took the survey (Black residents were more likely to take the survey at a public meeting, as opposed to online).
SURVEY: PRIORITIES AMONG WHITE RESIDENTS

Total respondents: 2174

SAME TOP 3 “VERY IMPORTANT” BUT DIFFERENT ORDER
Waste Pickup
Path / Sidewalk Maintenance
Playground Safety & Maintenance

Only respondents who identified their race or ethnicity as “White.” Race/Ethnicity also tracks strongly with income and with the location in which respondents took the survey (Black residents were more likely to take the survey at a public meeting, as opposed to online).
SURVEY: PRIORITIES BY INCOME
SURVEY: PRIORITIES AMONG HH INC <$40,000

Total respondents: 916

Generally, greater importance assigned to Maintenance (higher rates of VERY IMPORTANT); decreases as income increases

SAME TOP 3 “VERY IMPORTANT”
- Waste Pickup
- Path / Sidewalk Maintenance
- Playground Safety & Maintenance
SURVEY: PRIORITIES AMONG HH INC $40-$74k

Total respondents: 686

Generally, greater importance assigned to Maintenance (higher rates of VERY IMPORTANT); decreases as income increases

SAME TOP 3 “VERY IMPORTANT”
Waste Pickup
Path / Sidewalk Maintenance
Playground Safety & Maintenance
SURVEY: PRIORITIES AMONG HH INC >$75,000

Total respondents: 1023

Generally, greater importance assigned to Maintenance (higher rates of VERY IMPORTANT); decreases as income increases

SAME TOP 3 “VERY IMPORTANT”
Waste Pickup
Path / Sidewalk Maintenance
Playground Safety & Maintenance
SURVEY: PRIORITIES BY COUNCIL DISTRICT

Ordered by overall importance assigned to Maintenance, as defined by rates of “Very Important” across categories
PARKS & OPEN SPACE

Source: City of Pittsburgh

LEGEND

1 | City Council Districts
---|---------------------
1 | Riverview Park
2 | Highland Park
3 | Mellon Park
4 | Frick Park
5 | Schenley Park
6 | Emerald View Park
7 | McKinley Park
8 | Point State Park
9 | Allegheny Commons Park
10 | Sheraden Park

Open Space
Total respondents: 424

Very Important | Somewhat Important | Not Too Important | Not Important At All

MAINTENANCE

- Athletic court maintenance
- Athletic field maintenance
- Ice and snow removal
- Pool maintenance
- Center maint.

Recreation / Healthy, Active & Living
- Graffiti removal / Vandalism repair
- Playground safety and maintenance
- Mowing
- Landscape care
- Tree care
- Path / Sidewalk maintenance
- Waste pickup

Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 2

Total respondents: 204

Very Important  | Somewhat Important  | Not Too Important  | Not Important At All

100%  | 100%  | 100%  | 100%

Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 3

Total respondents: 155

- Very Important
- Somewhat Important
- Not Too Important
- Not Important At All

MAINTENANCE

- Athletic court maintenance: 53% Very Important, 52% Somewhat Important, 34% Not Too Important, 30% Not Important At All
- Athletic field maintenance: 57% Very Important, 58% Somewhat Important, 26% Not Too Important, 12% Not Important At All
- Ice and snow removal: 56% Very Important, 56% Somewhat Important, 26% Not Too Important, 12% Not Important At All
- Pool maintenance: 53% Very Important, 53% Somewhat Important, 27% Not Too Important, 10% Not Important At All
- Mowing: 62% Very Important, 60% Somewhat Important, 23% Not Too Important, 7% Not Important At All
- Landscape care: 65% Very Important, 65% Somewhat Important, 23% Not Too Important, 4% Not Important At All
- Tree care: 78% Very Important, 78% Somewhat Important, 31% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- Path / Sidewalk maintenance: 74% Very Important, 74% Somewhat Important, 23% Not Too Important, 15% Not Important At All
- Waste pickup: 83% Very Important, 83% Somewhat Important, 21% Not Too Important, 11% Not Important At All

Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 4

Total respondents: 136

- Very Important
- Somewhat Important
- Not Too Important
- Not Important At All

MAINTENANCE

- Athletic court maintenance: 65%
- Athletic field maintenance: 63%
- Ice and snow removal: 67%
- Ice rink and snow removal: 74%
- Pool maintenance: 73%
- Rink maintenance: 68%
- Mowing: 84%
- Landscape care: 69%
- Tree care: 78%
- Waste pickup: 95%

Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 5

Total respondents: 302

Very Important  Somewhat Important  Not Too Important  Not Important At All

MAINTENANCE

Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 6

Total respondents: 268

- Very Important
- Somewhat Important
- Not Too Important
- Not Important At All

MAINTENANCE

- Athletic court maintenance: 68% Very Important, 23% Somewhat Important, 9% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- Athletic field maintenance: 68% Very Important, 22% Somewhat Important, 9% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- Ice and snow removal: 65% Very Important, 23% Somewhat Important, 10% Not Too Important, 7% Not Important At All
- Center maintenance: 70% Very Important, 18% Somewhat Important, 4% Not Too Important, 5% Not Important At All
- Pool maintenance: 71% Very Important, 24% Somewhat Important, 5% Not Too Important, 4% Not Important At All
- Mowing: 84% Very Important, 11% Somewhat Important, 3% Not Too Important, 1% Not Important At All
- Landscape care: 78% Very Important, 21% Somewhat Important, 4% Not Too Important, 1% Not Important At All
- Tree care: 87% Very Important, 12% Somewhat Important, 4% Not Too Important, 2% Not Important At All
- Waste pickup: 90% Very Important, 9% Somewhat Important, 4% Not Too Important, 1% Not Important At All

Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 7

Total respondents: 360

Very Important  Somewhat Important  Not Too Important  Not Important At All

MAINTENANCE

Recreation / Healthy, Active & Living, Center maint. / Pool maintenance, Playground safety and maintenance, Path / Sidewalk maintenance, Waste pickup, Tree care, Landscape care, Mowing, Vandalism repair, Ice and snow removal, Center maint., Athletic field maint., Athletic court maint.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 8

Total respondents: 176

Very Important  Somewhat Important  Not Too Important  Not Important At All

MAINTENANCE

- Athletic court maintenance
- Athletic field maintenance
- Ice and snow removal
- Pool maintenance
- Playground safety and maintenance
- Pathway/sidewalk
- Mowing
- Landscape care
- Tree care
- Waste pickup

Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 9

Total respondents: 303

- Very Important
- Somewhat Important
- Not Too Important
- Not Important At All

MAINTENANCE

Recreation / Healthy, Active & Living
- 55% Very Important
- 54% Somewhat Important
- 61% Not Too Important
- 11% Not Important At All

Athletic court / field maintenance
- 30% Very Important
- 33% Somewhat Important
- 23% Not Too Important
- 12% Not Important At All

Ice and snow removal
- 9% Very Important
- 14% Somewhat Important
- 9% Not Too Important
- 6% Not Important At All

Playing field maintenance
- 12% Very Important
- 10% Somewhat Important
- 9% Not Too Important
- 4% Not Important At All

Pool maintenance
- 23% Very Important
- 30% Somewhat Important
- 25% Not Too Important
- 9% Not Important At All

Vandalism
- 63% Very Important
- 62% Somewhat Important
- 59% Not Too Important
- 5% Not Important At All

Mowing
- 67% Very Important
- 64% Somewhat Important
- 64% Not Too Important
- 3% Not Important At All

Landscape care
- 80% Very Important
- 78% Somewhat Important
- 82% Not Too Important
- 3% Not Important At All

Tree care
- 88% Very Important
- 88% Somewhat Important
- 88% Not Too Important
- 3% Not Important At All

Path / Sidewalk maintenance
- 88% Very Important
- 88% Somewhat Important
- 88% Not Too Important
- 3% Not Important At All

Waste pickup
- 88% Very Important
- 88% Somewhat Important
- 88% Not Too Important
- 3% Not Important At All

Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: RANKING PROGRAMMING PRIORITIES
More than 80% ranked programs for youth, for people living with disabilities, and for all ages as Very or Somewhat Important.

Less emphasis on programs for adults and seniors.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES BY RACE
**SURVEY: PRIORITIES AMONG BLACK RESIDENTS**

**Total respondents:** 589

![Bar chart showing the distribution of priorities among Black residents.](chart.png)

**VERY IMPORTANT**
- Programs for Families
- Programs for People living with Disabilities
- Youth Sports Programs

Only respondents who identified their race or ethnicity as “African American or Black.” Race/Ethnicity also tracks strongly with income and with the location in which respondents took the survey (Black residents were more likely to take the survey at a public meeting, as opposed to online).
SURVEY: PRIORITIES AMONG WHITE RESIDENTS

Total respondents: 2174

Generally, less importance assigned to Programming (lower rates of VERY IMPORTANT)

Highest priorities:
Programs for Families
Music or Performances
SURVEY: PRIORITIES BY INCOME
Generally, greater importance assigned to Programming (higher rates of VERY IMPORTANT); decreases as income increases
Generally, greater importance assigned to Programming (higher rates of VERY IMPORTANT); decreases as income increases.
Generally, greater importance assigned to Programming (higher rates of VERY IMPORTANT); decreases as income increases.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES BY COUNCIL DISTRICT

Ordered by overall importance assigned to Programming, as defined by rates of “Very Important” across categories
Total respondents: 424

Programs for Families received highest ranking as Very Important

Much less emphasis on programs for adults and seniors
Youth Sports Programs & Programs for People living with Disabilities received highest ranking as Very Important; programs for families close behind

Classes/activities for adults over 65 prioritized above adult programs; 52% ties for highest ranking as Very Important citywide

Much less emphasis on programs for (non-senior) adults
Programs for People living with Disabilities & Youth Sports Programs received highest rankings as Very Important

Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 4

Total respondents: 136

Programs for Families & Youth Sports Programs received highest ranking as Very Important

Much less emphasis on programs for adults and seniors

Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 5

Total respondents: 302

Programs for Families received highest ranking as Very Important

Much less emphasis on programs for adults and seniors
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 6

Total respondents: 268

Generally, greatest overall importance assigned to programming overall; Programs for Families received highest ranking as Very Important

Classes/activities for adults over 65 prioritized above adult programs; 52% ties for highest ranking as Very Important citywide

Somewhat less emphasis on programs for (non-senior) adults

Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.
Programs for Families and Music/Performances received highest ranking as Very Important

Much less emphasis on programs for adults and seniors
SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 8

Total respondents: 176

Very Important  Somewhat Important  Not Too Important  Not Important At All

Programs for Families and all ages events such as Festivals/Fairs and Music/Performances received highest ranking as Very Important

Much less emphasis on programs for adults and seniors

Only respondents who provided and address or ZIP code included.
**SURVEY: PRIORITIES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 9**

**Total respondents: 303**
- Very Important
- Somewhat Important
- Not Too Important
- Not Important At All

Programs for Families received highest ranking as Very Important

Classes/activities for adults over 65 prioritized above adult programs

Much less emphasis on programs for (non-senior) adults

Only respondents who provided an address or ZIP code included.